



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,867	04/17/2001	Jussi Lopponen	P 280236	5584
909	7590	04/24/2006	2010374US/A/kop	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			EXAMINER MAIS, MARK A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/835,867

Applicant(s)

LOPPONEN ET AL.

Examiner

Mark A. Mais

Art Unit

2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sigler et al. (USP 5,717,830).

3. With regard to claim 1, Sigler et al. discloses a method for a packet mode group voice communication in a communications system [**group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]** comprising providing a group server on top of the said communications system [**this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64]**

Art Unit: 2616

providing said group server with individual addresses of group members in at least one group communication group **[this is interpreted as providing the addresses of each member in the Closed User Group (col. 6, lines 56-60), after it is set up, col. 16, lines 37-39]**

sending voice packets from one of said group members to said group server, each voice packet being addressed to said at least one group, forwarding said voice packets individually to each receiving one of said group members on the basis of said individual addresses **[col. 15, lines 57-62; each member can hear one member in the group]**.

4. With regard to claim 2, Sigler et al. discloses forwarding said voice packets individually via user servers provided on top of the said mobile communications system, said user servers managing user specific voice packet streams to and from users **[interpreted as Base FECs, col. 4, lines 12-20]**.

5. With regard to claim 3, Sigler et al. discloses a method for packet mode group voice communication in a communications system **[group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, comprising

providing a group server **[the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) works with the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64]**,

providing said group server with individual addresses of group members of a group communication group **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64]**,

Art Unit: 2616

creating an individual logical connection from each group member to said group server by means of outband signaling [**NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; GC-S and FEC-S channels are signaling channels, col. 18, lines 43-45, col. 22, lines 37-39]**

starting a speech item in said group by sending a leader packet from one of said group members to said group server over said individual logical connection, each leader packet containing the identifier of the respective group member [**col. 23, lines 54-60; push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**

said group server either i) rejecting said started speech item, or ii) granting the started speech item to said one group member and forwarding said leader packet and subsequent voice packets individually to each receiving one of said group members in said group on the basis of said individual addresses [**the mobile's ID from the SU is sent to the other CUG members, Fig. 25; col. 17, lines 38-45]**.

6. With regard to claim 4, Sigler et al. discloses allocating an uplink bearer for said one group member in an air interface of said communications system prior to said one group member sends said leader packet and prior to said granting of said speech item, and allocating a downlink bearer in an air interface for each receiving group member in response to receiving a leader packet forwarded by said group server and addressed to said respective group member [**mobile receives inbound and outbound channel assignments for the each group, col. 16, lines 62-65]**.

7. With regard to claim 5, Sigler et al. discloses a method of managing speech items in a communications system having a packet mode group voice communication feature [**group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**], comprising

providing a group server for serving a group communication group [**this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64]**],

granting a speech item to one group member of said group communication group [**push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**],

setting a first timer to measure a predetermined idle period in response to said granting [**setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22]**],

resetting said first timer each time a voice packet is received from said one of said group members to said group server [**if voice packets are received, the loss of speaker status timer is reset, col. 23, lines 19-22]**],

ending said granted speech item if said first timer expires indicating that said predetermined idle period has elapsed from said granting or from last reception of a voice packet from said one group member [**setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22]**].

Art Unit: 2616

8. With regard to claim 6, Sigler et al. discloses ending said granted speech item if a maximum allowed period of time has elapsed from the granting **[while transmitting, if the mobile does not receive voice packets, and the loss of speaker status timer times out, causing the mobile to stop transmitting, col. 23, lines 39-41]**.

9. With regard to claim 7, Sigler et al. discloses that one group member sends a trailer packet having a predetermined payload in order to indicate the end of sending, the group server ends said speech item in response to receiving said trailer packet **[sends a PTT release, col. 24, lines 18-24]**.

10. With regard to claim 8, Sigler et al. discloses a method of managing traffic streams in a communications system having a packet mode group voice communication feature **[group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, comprising

providing a server for managing traffic streams addressed to a user who is active in at least one group communication group or in a one-to-one communication **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14]**,

Art Unit: 2616

receiving at said user specific server a first voice packet stream related to a first group or one-to-one communication and forwarding said first voice packet stream to said respective user **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20],**

monitoring at said user specific server continuity of said first voice packet stream **[the FEC manages contention, col. 16, lines 65-67; the MET monitors the FEC control channel, col. 22, lines 52-53],**

receiving at said user specific server at least one further voice packet stream related to at least one further group or one-to-one communication **[the voice calls to and from members of the CUG are collected at the FES, col. 21, lines 48-50],**

forwarding no one of said at least one further voice packet streams to said user if said first voice packet data stream is continuous **[no voice is transferred after the lost speaker status timer times out—meaning a continuous voice packet stream, col. 24, lines 12-16],**

forwarding one of said at least one further voice packet streams to said user if said first voice traffic stream has been discontinued for a predetermined period of time **[lost speaker status timer will not time out as long as it receives a voice packet (meaning no continuous stream), thus, resetting the timer (col. 23, 19-22), interpreted as having at least one break in PTT, e.g., 3.5 sec, col. 24, lines 12-14].**

Art Unit: 2616

11. With regard to claim 9, Sigler et al. discloses setting a timer to measure said predetermined period of time when a first packet of said first voice packet stream is forwarded to said user **[setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22];**

resetting said timer each time a new packet of said first voice packet stream is forwarded to said user **[if voice packets are received, the loss of speaker status timer is reset, col. 23, lines 19-22],**

determining said first voice packet stream to be discontinued if said timer expires **[lost speaker status timer will not time out as long as it receives a voice packet (meaning no continuous stream), thus, resetting the timer (col. 23, 19-22), interpreted as having at least one break in PTT, e.g., 3.5 sec, col. 24, lines 12-14].**

12. With regard to claim 10, Sigler et al. discloses the method according to claim 8 or 9, said method *further* comprising interrupting said first voice packet stream immediately when a voice packet stream having higher priority is received at said server **[priority contention, col. 9, lines 46-51, col. 36, lines 45-49].**

Art Unit: 2616

13. With regard to claim 11, Sigler et al. discloses a server system for providing a packet mode group communication service for a communications system [**group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, said server system comprising a group server provided on top of said communications system [**this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64]**, said group server further comprising

a data memory storing individual addresses of group members in at least one group communication group [**Fig. 3, data hub]**,

a mechanism receiving voice packets from said group members, each received voice packet containing information identifying the communication group which the respective packet is addressed to [**the voice calls to and from members of the CUG are collected at the FES, col. 21, lines 48-50; col. 23, lines 54-60, push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**,

a mechanism for granting a speech item to one group member per a communication group in turn [**push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**,

Art Unit: 2616

a mechanism unicasting each voice packet received from said group member having a speech item in a group communication group separately to each receiving member in said respective group communication group on the basis of said individual addresses **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14].**

14. With regard to claim 12, Sigler et al. discloses that the information identifying the communication group identify a port assigned to said group in said group server **[NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; thus, this is interpreted as part of identifying the CUG group's NET ID].**

15. With regard to claim 13, Sigler et al. discloses a call processing server provided on top of said mobile communications system, said call processing server being responsible for control plane management of the group communications in said group server **[NCC, col. 1, lines 27-34].**

16. With regard to claim 14, Sigler et al. discloses a first timer responsive to said granting the start of the measurement of a predetermined idle period from said granting **[setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22],**

Art Unit: 2616

a mechanism resetting said first timer each time a voice packet is received from said one group member having said granted speech item **[if voice packets are received, the loss of speaker status timer is reset, col. 23, lines 19-22]**,

a mechanism ending said granted speech item if said first timer expires indicating that said predetermined idle period has elapsed from said granting or from the last reception of a voice packet from said one group member **[setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22]**.

17. With regard to claim 15, Sigler et al. discloses a mechanism establishing an individual logical connection from each group member to said group server by means of outband signaling carried out between said call processing server and each group member **[NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; GC-S and FEC-S channels are signaling channels, col. 18, lines 43-45, col. col. 22, lines 37-39]**, and

wherein said mechanism granting a speech item further comprises a mechanism receiving a leader packet starting a speech item in said group from one of said group members to said group server over respective said individual logical connection , said leader packet containing identifier of the respective group member **[push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65,**

Art Unit: 2616

a mechanism that either i) rejects said started speech item, or ii) grants said started speech item to said one group member and forwards said leader packet and subsequent voice packets individually to each receiving one of said other members in said group on the basis of said individual addresses **[the mobile's ID from the SU is sent to the other CUG members, Fig. 25; col. 17, lines 38-45].**

18. With regard to claim 16, Sigler et al. discloses that the voice packets are VOIP packets **[it is inherent that the packets being sent would VOIP packets, if the packets were, in fact, IP or TCP/IP packets].**

19. With regard to claim 17, Sigler et al. discloses a group management server providing a user interface for a remote creation and management of group communications group in said server system **[NCC, col. 1, lines 27-34].**

20. With regard to claim 18, Sigler et al. discloses a user interface is based on one of the World Wide Web and Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) technologies **[is inherent that the user interface would have been a wireless protocol, see also col. 35-40].**

21. With regard to claim 19, Sigler et al. discloses that the group server is interconnected to said mobile communications network by an Internet Protocol (IP) based network **[communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25].**

22. With regard to claim 20, Sigler et al. discloses a server system for providing a packet mode group communication service for a communications system [**group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, said server system comprising a group server provided on top of said communications system [**the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) works with the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64]**, said group server further comprising

a mechanism which identifies and authenticates a source of group communication [**col. 26, lines 54-67]**,

a mechanism which controls that only one group member in a group talks at a time [**col. 19, lines 65-67, only one mobile can talk at a time; col. 19, lines 60-65]**,

a mechanism which checks active group members in a group to which voice packets from a currently talking group member are destined to and generates from an incoming voice packet an outgoing packet to be forwarded separately to each of said active group members [**col. 19, lines 60-65, the PTT SU request packet allows voice packets to be forwarded to the CUG]**, and

a mechanism which selects from possible multiple incoming traffic streams destined to one group member the one which is to be forwarded to said one group member [**one mobile can be the recipient of a priority message while in the midst of receiving "regular" voice traffic, thereby receiving the priority stream over the "regular" stream, priority contention, col. 9, lines 46-51, col. 36, lines 45-49]**.

Art Unit: 2616

23. With regard to claim 21, Sigler et al. discloses a server system for providing a packet mode group communication service for a communications system, said server system comprising at least one first server providing group specific communications functions **[group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, said first server further comprising

a data memory storing individual addresses of group members in at least one group communication group **[Fig. 3, data hub]**,

a mechanism receiving voice packets from said group members, each received voice packet containing information identifying the communication group which the respective packet is addressed to **[the voice calls to and from members of the CUG are collected at the FES, col. 21, lines 48-50; col. 23, lines 54-60, push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**,

a mechanism for granting a speech item to one group member per communication group in turn **[push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**,

a mechanism unicasting each voice packet received from said group member having a speech item in a group communication group separately to each receiving member in said respective group communication on the basis of said individual addresses **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14],**

a second server providing user-specific communications functions, any group related communication from a user managed by said second server being routed first to said second server and then forwarded to an appropriate first server, and any unicast voice packet from said at least one first server being routed first to said second server prior to sending the voice packet to the respective user **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14].**

24. With regard to claim 22, Sigler et al. discloses that the information identifying the communication group identifies a port assigned to said group in said group server **[NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; thus, this is interpreted as part of identifying the CUG group's NET ID].**

25. With regard to claim 23, Sigler et al. discloses a server system for providing a packet mode group communication service for a communications system, said server system comprising

Art Unit: 2616

at least one group server providing group specific communications functions [group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25], said group server further comprising

a mechanism which controls that only one group member in a group talks at a time [col. 19, lines 65-67, only one mobile can talk at a time; col. 19, lines 60-65],

a mechanism which checks active group members in a group to which voice packets from a currently talking group member is destined to and generates from an incoming voice packet an outgoing packet to be forwarded separately to each of said active group members [col. 19, lines 60-65, the PTT SU request packet allows voice packets to be forwarded to the CUG],

a user server providing user-specific communications functions on a user plane [this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14],

said user server further comprising a mechanism which identifies and authenticates a source of group communication [col. 26, lines 54-67],

a mechanism which selects from possible multiple incoming traffic streams destined to one group member the one which is to be forwarded to said one group member [one mobile can be the recipient of a priority message while in the midst of receiving "regular" voice traffic, thereby receiving the priority stream over the "regular" stream, priority contention, col. 9, lines 46-51, col. 36, lines 45-49].

26. With regard to claim 24, Sigler et al. discloses a group call processing server provided on top of the said communications system, said group call processing server being responsible for control plane management of the group communications in said group server [NCC, col. 1, lines 27-34], and

a user call processing server provided on top of said communications system, said user call processing server being responsible for control plane management of the communications in said user server [this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14].

27. With regard to claim 25, Sigler et al. discloses a first timer responsive to said granting the start of the measurement of a predetermined idle period from said granting [setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22],

a mechanism resetting said first timer each time a voice packet is received from said one group member having said granted speech item [if voice packets are received, the loss of speaker status timer is reset, col. 23, lines 19-22],

a mechanism ending said granted speech item if said first timer expires indicating that said predetermined idle period has elapsed from said granting or from the last reception of a voice packet from said one group member [setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22].

Art Unit: 2616

28. With regard to claim 26, Sigler et al. discloses a mechanism establishing an individual logical connection between each group member and said user server by means of outband signaling carried out between said user call processing server and each group member **[NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; GC-S and FEC-S channels are signaling channels, col. 18, lines 43-45, col. col. 22, lines 37-39]**, and wherein said mechanism which manages that only one group member in a group talks at a time further comprises

a mechanism receiving a request for a speech item in said group from one of said group members to said group server over respective said individual logical connection, said request being in form of a leader packet containing identifier of the respective group member **[push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**, a mechanism that either i) rejects said request for a speech item, or ii) grants the speech item to said one group member and forwards said leader packet and subsequent voice packets individually to each receiving one of said other members in said group **[the mobile's ID from the SU is sent to the other CUG members, Fig. 25; col. 17, lines 38-45]**.

29. With regard to claim 27, Sigler et al. discloses that the voice packets are VOIP packets **[it is inherent that the packets being sent would VOIP packets, if the packets were, in fact, IP or TCP/IP packets]**.

Art Unit: 2616

30. With regard to claim 28, Sigler et al. discloses a group management server providing a user interface for a remote creation and management of group communications group in said server system [NCC, col. 1, lines 27-34].

31. With regard to claim 29, Sigler et al. discloses that the user interface is based on one of the World Wide Web and Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) technologies **[is inherent that the user interface would have been a wireless protocol, see also col. 35-40]**.

32. With regard to claim 30, Sigler et al. discloses that the group server is interconnected to said mobile communications network by an Internet Protocol (IP) based network **[communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**.

33. With regard to claim 31, Sigler et al. discloses a server system for providing a packet mode group communication service for a communications system, said server system comprising
at least one group server providing group specific communications functions in a user plane **[group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, said group server further comprising
a data memory storing individual addresses of group members in at least one
group communication group **[Fig. 3, data hub]**,

a mechanism receiving voice packets from said group members, each received voice packet containing information identifying the communication group which the respective packet is addressed to **[the voice calls to and from members of the CUG are collected at the FES, col. 21, lines 48-50; col. 23, lines 54-60, push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65],**

a mechanism for granting a speech item to one group member per communication group in turn **[push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65],**

a mechanism unicasting each voice packet received from said group member having a speech item in a group communication group separately to each receiving member in said respective group communication on the basis of said individual addresses **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14],**

a user server providing user-specific communications functions on a user plane, any group related communication from a user managed by said user server being routed first to said user server and then forwarded to an appropriate group server, and any unicast voice packet from said at least one group server being routed first to said user server prior to sending the voice packet to the respective user **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14],**

Art Unit: 2616

a group call processing server responsible for control plane management of the group communications in said group server **[NCC, col. 1, lines 27-34]**, and

a user call processing server responsible for control plane management of the communications in said user server **[this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14]**.

34. With regard to claim 32, Sigler et al. discloses a device of managing speech items in a communications system having a packet mode group voice communication feature **[group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, comprising

a mechanism granting a speech item to one group member in group communication group at time **[push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**,

a first timer responsive to said granting for starting to measure a predetermined idle period from said granting **[setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22]**,

a mechanism resetting said first timer each time a voice packet is received from said one of said group members **[if voice packets are received, the loss of speaker status timer is reset, col. 23, lines 19-22]**,

Art Unit: 2616

a mechanism ending said granted speech item, if said first timer expires indicating that said predetermined idle period has elapsed from said granting or from last reception of a voice packet from said one group member **[setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22]**.

35. With regard to claim 33, Sigler et al. discloses a device for managing traffic streams addressed to a user who is active in at least one group communication group or in one-to-one communication in a mobile communications system having a packet mode group voice communication feature **[group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25]**, said device comprising

a first mechanism capable of receiving at least two voice packet streams related to at least two group or one-to-one communications **[one mobile can be the recipient of a priority message while in the midst of receiving "regular" voice traffic, thereby receiving the priority stream over the "regular" stream, priority contention, col. 9, lines 46-51, col. 36, lines 45-49]**,

Art Unit: 2616

a second mechanism monitoring continuity of said forwarded voice packet streams, said first mechanism forwarding no other one of said received voice packet streams related to at least one further group or one-to-one communication, if said first voice packet stream is continuous **[no voice is transferred after the lost speaker status timer times out—meaning a continuous voice packet stream, col. 24, lines 12-16]**, and selecting and forwarding other one of said voice packet streams to said user if said previous selected and forwarded voice traffic stream has been discontinued for a predetermined period of time **[lost speaker status timer will not time out as long as it receives a voice packet (meaning no continuous stream), thus, resetting the timer (col. 23, 19-22), interpreted as having at least one break in PTT, e.g., 3.5 sec, col. 24, lines 12-14]**.

36. With regard to claim 34, Sigler et al. discloses a device according to claim 33, wherein said monitoring mechanism further comprises

a timer which is set to measure said predetermined period of time when a first packet of said selected voice packet stream is forwarded to said user **[setting the loss of status timer where the loss of speaker status timeout occurs if no voice packets are sent (idle), col. 23, lines 19-22]**,

a mechanism resetting said timer each time a new packet of said selected voice packet stream is forwarded to said user **[if voice packets are received, the loss of speaker status timer is reset, col. 23, lines 19-22]**,

Art Unit: 2616

a mechanism determining said selected voice packet stream to be discontinued if said timer expires [lost speaker status timer will not time out as long as it receives a voice packet (meaning no continuous stream), thus, resetting the timer (col. 23, 19-22), interpreted as having at least one break in PTT, e.g., 3.5 sec, col. 24, lines 12-14].

37. With regard to claim 35, Sigler et al. discloses a device according to claim 33, said device further comprising a mechanism interrupting said first voice packet stream immediately when a voice packet stream having higher priority is received [priority contention, col. 9, lines 46-51, col. 36, lines 45-49].

38. With regard to claim 36, Sigler et al. discloses a method for establishing a one-to-one voice communication in a communications system, comprising

providing a communications server on top of a mobile communications system [group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25; this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14],

creating an individual logical connection between said communication server and each user having an active communication service in said communication server [NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; GC-S and FEC-S channels are signaling channels, col. 18, lines 43-45, col. col. 22, lines 37-39],

Art Unit: 2616

starting a communication by sending a leader packet from a *sending* user to said communication server over respective said individual logical connection, each leader packet containing *an* identifier of said sending user [**push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]** and

said communication server either i) rejects said started speech item, or ii) grants the started speech item to said sending user and forwards said leader packet and subsequent voice packets to said receiving user on the basis of said received identifier of said receiving user [**the mobile's ID from the SU is sent to the other CUG members, Fig. 25; col. 17, lines 38-45]**.

39. With regard to claim 37, Sigler et al. discloses inquiring an IP address of said receiving user, from a communication control server on the basis of said received identity of said receiving user, forwarding said leader packet and subsequent voice packets to said IP address of said receiving user [**col. 23, lines 54-60; push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65; it is inherent that the packet could be an IP packet (therefore including the IP address)]**.

40. With regard to claim 38, Sigler et al. discloses that the sending user sends the leader packet and the subsequent packets to a specific port assigned for one-to-one communication in said communication server [**NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; thus, this is interpreted as part of identifying the NET ID]**.

Art Unit: 2616

41. With regard to claim 39, Sigler et al. discloses a subscriber equipment for communications system having a packet mode group voice communication service, said subscriber equipment comprising mechanisms for packet data communication over said mobile a communications system, a group communication application on top of said mechanisms [**group communication (trunking, col. 16, lines 4-6) in a network over multiple networks, e.g. LAN/WAN, e.g., col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, line 3; which include IP and TCP/IP, col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25; this is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller, col. 5, lines 49-64; the user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC, col. 4, lines 12-20; private point-to-point communications, col. 7, lines 11-14]**, said application having a mechanism establishing a logical packet connection to a group communication server , said application having a mechanism sending and receiving voice packets to and from said group communications server [**NET IDs are established for each group, col. 16, lines 52-55; GC-S and FEC-S channels are signaling channels, col. 18, lines 43-45, col. col. 22, lines 37-39; mobile receives inbound and outbound channel assignments for the each group, col. 16, lines 62-65]**].

42. With regard to claim 40, Sigler et al. discloses

a push-to-talk switch [**col. 19, line 52]**,

a mechanism which, reactive to activation of said push-to-talk switch by a user, sends a leader packet followed by voice packets to said logical connection and thereby starts a speech item [**col. 23, lines 54-60; push-to-talk occurs and the group NET ID (as well as the mobile's ID) is sent along with the signaling unit (SU) packet, Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65]**],

said mechanism, reactive to receiving an indication that a speech item is not granted to the user is received from said group communication server after sending said leader packet, stops sending further packets and stops the speech item although the push-to-talk switch is still activated **[the mobile's ID from the SU is sent to the other CUG members, Fig. 25; col. 17, lines 38-45],**

said mechanism, reactive to deactivation of said push-to-talk switch by the user, stops the speech item and stops sending further voice packets **[sends a PTT release, col. 24, lines 18-24].**

43. With regard to claim 41, Sigler et al. discloses that the mechanism, reactive to deactivation of said push-to-talk switch by the user, sends a trailer packet to said group communication server over said logical connection and thereby stops the speech item **[sends a PTT release, col. 24, lines 18-24].**

44. With regard to claim 42, Sigler et al. discloses that the indication is a reception of a voice or leader packet originating from another user in a group communication group after sending said leader packet **[priority contention, col. 9, lines 46-51, col. 36, lines 45-49].**

45. With regard to claim 43, Sigler et al. discloses that the indication is the reception of a voice packet having predetermined payload type after sending said leader packet **[priority contention, col. 9, lines 46-51, col. 36, lines 45-49].**

Art Unit: 2616

46. With regard to claim 44, Sigler et al. discloses that the mechanism, which in response to the reception of said indication, alerts the user of the fact the speech item was not granted **[indicates call failure to user, col. 24, line 58]**.

47. With regard to claim 45, Sigler et al. discloses that the mechanism, reactive to deactivation of said push-to-talk switch by the user, sends a trailer packet to said group communication server over said logical connection and thereby stops the speech item **[sends a PTT release, col. 24, lines 18-24]**.

48. With regard to claim 46, Sigler et al. discloses that the equipment further comprising a mechanism giving an audible indication to the user start speaking after the activation of said push-to-talk switch **[tone, col. 16, line 65-67]**.

49. With regard to claim 47, Sigler et al. discloses that the indication mechanism comprises a timer enabling said audible indication after a predetermined period of time has expired from said activation of said push-to-talk switch **[indicates stop of transmission, col. 23, line 39-41]**.

50. With regard to claim 48, Sigler et al. discloses that the indication mechanism gives said audible indication after one of the connection setup phases has been reached: 1) after an uplink bearer has been allocated, 2) after said leader packet has been sent, 3) after said group communication server has processed said leader packet and granted a speech item, 4) after a

Art Unit: 2616

receiving party has acknowledged said leader packet **[is inherent that audible indications occur for a trunking system; see also claims 46 and 47 above]**.

Response to Arguments

51. Applicant's arguments filed March 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

52. With respect to claim 1, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to teach packet mode group voice communication **[Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 16, line 11]**. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

53. As stated for rejected claim 1 above, Sigler et al. discloses a communications system, which discloses voice *packets*. Vocoder voice over transmission frames is interpreted as *packets*.

Examples of other packets used in/with the network disclosed in Sigler et al. are the LAN/WAN that the NOC communicates with **(col. 3, line 64 to col.4, line 3)** as well as IP and TCP/IP listed in the glossary **(col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25)**. Moreover, Sigler et al. discloses that the background technical art provides for *packet-switched* data transfer which could be used by those of ordinary skill in the art for Voice over IP packets over the public switched packet network **(col. 2, lines 10-15)**. The system provides for call-monitoring of packets over the FES-C and conditions for timeout for non-receipt of voice *packets*, as well **(col. 22, line 55 to col. 23, line 22)**.

54. With respect to claim 1, Applicant states that Sigler et al. discloses a circuit-switched system while Applicant's invention does not [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 16, lines 17-20**]. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

55. The examiner interprets that Applicant's representative means that Sigler does not disclose a packet-switched network which transports voice packets such Voice over IP. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a packet-switched network, packet-switched voice packets, VOIP, etc.) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

56. With respect to claim 1, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. does not disclose a group server [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 16, line 30**]. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

57. As stated for rejected claim 1 above, the group server is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (**col. 3, line 9-21**) and the Group Controller (**col. 5, lines 49-64**) which provides the function of providing the addresses of each member in the Closed User Group to each member (**col. 6, lines 56-60**), after it is set up, (**col. 16, lines 37-39**). The NOC manages and controls the resources of the satellite network system (thus, on top of the network) and carries out the

Art Unit: 2616

administrative functions of the total satellite network system [col. 3, lines 64-67]. The Group Server, situated under the NOC (via the NCC), provides the control functionality of the CG database received from the NOC [col. 5, lines 49-52].

58. With respect to claim 1, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to teach group server individual addresses from group member [Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 17, lines 14-15]. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

59. As stated in the rejection of claim 1 above, Sigler et al. is interpreted as providing the addresses of each member in the Closed User Group (col. 6, lines 56-60), after it is set up by establishing a private virtual net for each group or subgroup (col. 16, lines 37-39). Moreover, Sigler et al. must *necessarily* provide addresses and identifications for each member in the closed user group because a private virtual net could not be provided otherwise. As an example, a net radio channel assignment packet is shown in Fig. 18 of Sigler et al. This packet provides the identification of both the NET ID and the Forward ID Terminal Number for private mode service.

60. Furthermore, the examiner interprets that Applicant's representative means that Sigler et al. fails to provide the group server with individual packet-switched packets such as IP—which is sent over the internet and which uses specific fields such as sender's address and recipient's address. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., IP Addresses

Art Unit: 2616

in a packet-switched system) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

61. With respect to claim 1, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to teach sending/forwarding voice packets [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 17, lines 14-15**]. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

62. As stated for rejected claim 1 above, each member in Sigler et al. receives the voice packets individually at their physical locations because they are received and then forwarded from the disclosed satellite network such that every member can hear the one transmitting member in the group [**col. 15, lines 57-62**].

63. The examiner interprets that Applicant's representative means that Sigler et al. fails to provide the function of packet-switched voice packets that are sent to the group server, and then the packet-switched packets—with individual internet addresses (e.g. IP addresses)—are then sent to each group member via the packet-switching network. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., forwarding IP addressed packets to individual group users over a packet-switched network) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

64. With respect to claim 3, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to teach or suggest starting a speech item in the group by sending a leader packet via the individual logical connection by means of outband signaling [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 18, lines 9-14**]. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

65. As stated in rejected claim 3 above, Sigler et al. discloses that depressing the PTT button sends a signaling packet which send the group NET ID and individual mobile's ID when the user attempts to start a speech item (leader packet) after the group ID has already been assigned via the MET-C channel (outband signaling). Each mobile must necessarily have a logical connection to the group server. Thus, one member can make a group broadcast or broadcast to the one other member in the group (in a two-member closed user group) [which includes every member in the group and therefore, every address in the group] [**col. 23, lines 54-60; Fig. 25, col. 19, lines 43-65**].

66. With respect to claim 3, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. does not disclose creating an individual logical connection from each group member to the group server, and that it, in fact, teaches away from such a limitation [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 18, lines 15-20**]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

67. As stated in the rejection for claim 3 above, Sigler et al. discloses that Group Server, situated under the NOC (via the NCC), provides the control functionality of the CG database received

Art Unit: 2616

from the NOC [col. 5, lines 49-52]. There must *necessarily* be a logical connection between each individual mobile and the Group server in the satellite communications system [e.g., when each mobile is situated at different physical locations].

68. With respect to claim 3, Applicant's representative points to (col. 7, lines 2-10) and (col. 16 lines 9-17 and 50-52) of Sigler et al. to show that a logical connection is not present between each mobile and the Group server of Sigler et al. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

69. Rather than use one entire switch per individual connection, Sigler et al. does, in fact, disclose consolidating different connections *at the satellite switch* in order to obtain cost-savings [col. 7, lines 2-10]. However, as stated in the rejection for claim 3 above, Sigler et al. discloses that Group Server, situated under the NOC (via the NCC), provides the control functionality of the CG database received from the NOC [col. 5, lines 49-52]. There must *necessarily* be a logical connection between each individual mobile and the Group server in the satellite communications system [e.g., when each mobile is situated at different physical locations].

70. The examiner interprets that Applicant's representative means that Sigler et al. fails to provide either a virtual circuit/path (VC/VP using ATM packets) or a high priority connection using DiffServ IP packet priority (although this is *not* a virtual connection/path) between each mobile and the group server in a *packet-switched network*. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., VCs/VPs or, for example, DiffServ packet priority for

Art Unit: 2616

each mobile-to-group server connection in a *packet-switched network*) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

71. With respect to claim 3, Applicant's representative states that Sigler fails to teach grant/rejection of the speech item [Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 19, lines 1-2]. As stated for rejected claim 3 above, the group server is interpreted as the combination of the NOC (col. 3, line 9-21) and the Group Controller (col. 5, lines 49-64) which provides the function of providing the addresses of each member in the Closed User Group to each member (col. 6, lines 56-60), after it is set up, (col. 16, lines 37-39). The NOC manages and controls the resources of the satellite network system (thus, on top of the network) and carries out the administrative functions of the total satellite network system [col. 3, lines 64-67]. The Group Server, situated under the NOC (via the NCC), provides the control functionality of the CG database received from the NOC [col. 5, lines 49-52]. For example, the NOC (Fig. 3), receives the PTT messages from the mobile, and, using the group server to manage the NET ID and speaker ID within the CUG (col. 19, lines 60-61), as well as managing the mobile's DN (col. 20, line 6 and lines 30-34), accepts the speech item (leader packet)—interpreted as passing the message to all other mobiles in the CUG that have appropriate Net ID and speaker ID. Otherwise, if the NET ID is not active, it rejects the PTT request because the mobile, by default, will ignore the PTT request—based on the maintenance of the NET ID and speaker ID by the Group server [col. 19, lines 60-67].

72. With respect to claim 3, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to teach sending/forwarding the leader packet [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 19, lines 24-26**]. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

73. As stated for rejected claim 3 above, for example, the NOC (**Fig. 3**), receives the PTT messages from the mobile, and, using the group server to manage the NET ID and speaker ID within the CUG (**col. 19, lines 60-61**), as well as managing the mobile's DN (**col. 20, line 6 and lines 30-34**), accepts the speech item (leader packet)—interpreted as passing the message to all other mobiles in the CUG that have appropriate Net ID and speaker ID. Otherwise, if the NET ID is not active, it rejects the PTT request because the mobile, by default, will ignore the PTT request—based on the maintenance of the NET ID and speaker ID by the Group server [**col. 19, lines 60-67**].

74. The examiner interprets that Applicant's representative means that Sigler et al. fails to provide the function of packet-switched leader packet that is sent to the group server, and then the packet-switched leader packet—with individual internet addresses (e.g. IP addresses)—is then sent to each group member via the packet-switching network. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., forwarding IP addressed leader packets to individual group users over a packet-switched network) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification

Art Unit: 2616

are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

75. With respect to claim 5, Applicant's representative states that the claimed invention teaches timer control by the group controller which is contrary, apparently, to Sigler et al., which teaches timer control by the mobile terminals themselves [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 20, lines 1-13**]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

76. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., only the Group controller operating the timers—not the mobile terminals) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

77. With respect to claim 8, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to teach packet mode group voice communication [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 20, lines 16-17**]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

78. As stated for rejected claim 8 above, Sigler et al. discloses a communications system, which discloses voice *packets*. Vocoder voice over transmission frames is interpreted as *packets*. Examples of other packets used in/with the network disclosed in Sigler et al. are the LAN/WAN

Art Unit: 2616

that the NOC communicates with (col. 3, line 64 to col.4, line 3) as well as IP and TCP/IP listed in the glossary (col. 44, line 54 and col. 49, line 25). Moreover, Sigler et al. discloses that the background technical art provides for *packet-switched* data transfer which could be used by those of ordinary skill in the art for Voice over IP packets over the public switched packet network (col. 2, lines 10-15). The system provides for call-monitoring of packets over the FES-C and conditions for timeout for non-receipt of voice *packets*, as well (col. 22, line 55 to col. 23, line 22).

79. With respect to claim 8, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to a user specific server for managing traffic streams addressed to a user who is active in at least one communications group or one-to-one communication [Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 20, lines 17-19]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Art Unit: 2616

80. As stated in rejected claim 8 above, the NOC (**col. 3, line 9-21**) and the Group Controller (**col. 5, lines 49-64**) provides the function of providing the addresses of each member in the Closed User Group to each member (**col. 6, lines 56-60**), after it is set up, (**col. 16, lines 37-39**). The NOC manages and controls the resources of the satellite network system (thus, on top of the network) and carries out the administrative functions of the total satellite network system [**col. 3, lines 64-67**]. The Group Server, situated under the NOC (via the NCC), provides the control functionality of the CG database received from the NOC [**col. 5, lines 49-52**]. The user-server is further interpreted as a Base FEC (**col. 4, lines 12-20**). Thus, the system manages streams addressed to a user active in one closed user group as well as private point-to-point communications (**col. 7, lines 11-14**).

81. With respect to claim 8, Applicant's representative states that Sigler et al. fails to provide filtering of voice packets streams related to two or more groups or one-to-one communications with one user [**Applicant's Amendment dated March 18, 2006, page 20, lines 19-21**]. The examiner respectfully disagrees.

82. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., providing filtering of packets to *two or more groups*) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

83. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

84. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

(a) Krause et al. (USP 7,020,130), Method and apparatus providing integrated voice and data services over a common interface device.

(b) Needham et al. (USP 6,970,926), Dispatch call server in a packet based communication network.

(c) Stubbs (USP 6,930,994), Dynamic allocation of radio resources in a packet-switched communications system.

(d) Bogard (USP 6,757,365), Instant messaging via telephone interfaces.

85. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

86. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Mais whose telephone number is (571) 272-3138. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30.

87. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

88. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

89. Applicant is hereby informed/reminded that Technology Center 2600 has reorganized. Examiner's previous Group Art Unit 2664 is now designated as Group Art Unit 2616. Group Art Unit 2616 still examines Class 370 (multiplexing).

Seema S. Rao
SEEMA S. RAO 4/5/06
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

MAM
MAM

March 18, 2006